

To: Waters, Anthony[Waters.Anthony@epa.gov]
Cc: Anshant, Rosemarie[ANSHANT.ROSE@EPA.GOV]
From: Caporale, Cynthia
Sent: Thur 3/27/2014 12:20:50 PM
Subject: RE: Agency Reply to RFI - Freedom Industries Samples/Fort Meade
J102 grab and 24hr samples.pdf

Good Morning Anthony:

To address the Additional Request for Information items concerning the Freedom Industries Samples, I have provided the following comments and resending you the air sample results for both the grab and 24 hour sample.

1. *The rest of the laboratory documentation for this sample:*
 - a. Attached are the results for the grab and 24 hour sample.
 - b. A scanned copy of the data results (raw data) for these two samples is being sent to you in separate email due to size.
2. *The standard run(s) and resulting calibration curve for MCHM (and PPH, if analyzed for) to demonstrate that it would indeed be detected by this method if present;*
 - a. An air standard for MCHM (or PPH) is not available. Therefore, a calibration curve was not conducted for this test procedure. The intent of collecting these two air samples was for presence/absence only to determine the feasibility of using Summa™ canisters.
3. *The detection limit study data – 7 replicates minimum or other method used – and the detection limit determined for MCHM (and PPH, if analyzed for) in these samples;*
 - a. See response for #2. Without a certified standard a method detection limit study is unattainable. Therefore, no detection limit or minimum levels of detection were determined. As stated in response for #2, the intended purposes was for presence/absence detection. Based on the type of sampling being conducted the expected outcome was to not detect MCHM at this level (most likely in the parts per trillion level or lower) using this technique.
4. *All documentation for the 24-hour sample.*
 - a. The attached file provides the instrument report for both samples. I am providing a scanned copy of the data results in a separate email.

From: Waters, Anthony
Sent: Tuesday, March 25, 2014 11:15 AM
To: Caporale, Cynthia
Cc: Anshant, Rosemarie
Subject: FW: Agency Reply to RFI - Freedom Industries Samples/Fort Meade

Hello Cynthia:

Can you please give me a call when you have a moment. We still need to complete the 2nd reply to the union request for information concerning the Freedom Industries Samples at ESC and test results. The Agency still needs to answer the following questions:

1. *the rest of the laboratory documentation for this sample;*
2. *the standard run(s) and resulting calibration curve for MCHM (and PPH, if analyzed for) to demonstrate that it would indeed be detected by this method if present;*
3. *the detection limit study data – 7 replicates minimum or other method used – and the detection limit determined for MCHM (and PPH, if analyzed for) in these samples;*

Thanks.

Tony

Anthony Waters

Human Resources

USEPA Region III

1650 Arch Street

Philadelphia, PA 19103

waters.anthony@epa.gov

telephone: 215-814-5357

Fax: 215-814-5241

From: Waters, Anthony

Sent: Wednesday, March 12, 2014 11:11 AM

To: Caporale, Cynthia

Subject: FW: Agency Reply to RFI - Freedom Industries Samples/Fort Meade

Importance: High

Hello Cindy:

I bcc'd you on the initial reply, which is attached as a pdf file. Included in that file are all of the test results that were sent thus far to the union.

Tony Waters

215-814-5357

From: Waters, Anthony
Sent: Friday, February 21, 2014 4:31 PM
To: Nation, Jan
Cc: Anshant, Rosemarie; Johnson, Steve
Subject: Agency Reply to RFI - Freedom Industries Samples/Fort Meade

Hello Jan:

Attached, please find the Agency's response to the Union's Requests For Information, dated January 28, and February 5, 2014, concerning Freedom Industries samples at the Fort Meade Facility. Responsive documentation is included.

Tony

Anthony Waters

Human Resources

USEPA Region III

1650 Arch Street

Philadelphia, PA 19103

waters.anthony@epa.gov

telephone: 215-814-5357

Fax: 215-814-5241